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ABSTRACT

Electronic commerce has the potential to radically alter some economic activities and the surrounding social environment. These changes require new frameworks for conducting business and pose new challenges to policy makers. To better understand the importance, interaction and nature of these policy challenges, it is necessary to track the developments of this very dynamic medium and the impact it is having on our economy and society.

What do we mean by electronic commerce? What are the policy needs and what type of e-commerce indicators should we be looking at? What are the challenges for measuring e-commerce and what can we learn from ongoing research and surveys? The paper addresses these questions and argues that monitoring the size and evaluating the impacts of e-commerce requires a measurement framework, which enables international comparisons. OECD ongoing efforts to develop such a framework are presented. Initial building blocks of this multifaceted work are (1) the development of a set of e-commerce definitions that can be statistically measured across countries, (2) the development of a model statistical survey to produce e-commerce indicators relevant for policy makers, and (3) the development of a case-study methodology to assess the sector specific impacts of e-commerce on business. The paper presents some preliminary findings and discusses areas for future research.

(*) The views expressed in this paper are personal and should not be attributed to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development or its Member countries. This paper benefited from the contributions of Bill Pattinson (OECD) and B.K. Atrostic (US Bureau of the Census).
DEFINING AND MEASURING ELECTRONIC COMMERCE.

Towards the development of an OECD methodology.

I. Introduction

Electronic commerce has the potential to radically alter some economic activities and the surrounding social environment. These changes require new frameworks for conducting business and pose new challenges to policy makers. To better understand the importance, interaction and nature of these policy challenges, it is necessary to track the developments of this very dynamic medium and the impact it is having on our economy and society. To focus the policy debate, statistics that measure the level, growth and composition of e-commerce are badly needed. This knowledge requirement is fuelling the growth of “e-consultants” providing all kinds of “e-estimates” that cannot be easily compared, as well as generating innovative approaches to using the Internet in order to measure itself.\(^1\)

Methodologies to size electronic commerce vary widely across available studies, and there is as yet no internationally agreed definition of e-commerce. Some studies include all business activity carried out both over the Internet and over other electronic media, whereas others only include Internet-based transactions which result in the purchase or use of goods or services. Even if the same definition, e.g. a narrow one based on Internet commerce were to be used, differences in sizing methodologies would deliver different numbers. Consider for example the case of business-to-business Internet commerce, a segment that dominates the total value of Internet-based e-commerce activities, accounting for about 80 per cent at present and expected to reach almost 90 per cent by the year 2003. Fig. 1 shows the difference in the projections of business-to-business Internet transactions for the United States of three well known market research companies.\(^2\)

**The sizing business: business-to-business Internet-commerce estimates**

![Graph showing projections of business-to-business Internet-commerce estimates](image)

In the case of business-to-business e-commerce it is also necessary to determine the scope of transactions included in the definition. If one looks at business processes, most companies view the automation of internal supply chain and procurement processes as distinguished from the consumer related processes of sales and external marketing. In this respect one could look at business-to-business transactions not as transactions among business units but as pure intermediate (i.e. not for final consumption) transactions. In practice, though, most Internet commerce estimates are based on sales or revenue data. Those revenues include costs of doing business thus resulting in “double-counting” as the output of one e-commerce industry, e.g. advertising or payment over the Internet, is included in the “sales” figures of other e-commerce industries.
Sizing business-to-business electronic commerce is fraught with measurement problems not only because of the issue of double counting and of differences in methodologies and definitions across studies. Even if the same definition and the same sizing methodology were applied, the volume of business to business Internet commerce could be too small in certain countries to be detected.3

Reliable and internationally comparable statistics that measure the level, growth and composition of electronic commerce are lacking, as is a consistent definition of electronic commerce. While electronic commerce is of interest in its own right, though, interest is at least as great about the ways that it may affect the economy as a whole, specific industries and sectors, and the firms that do, and do not, participate in it. The real challenge is to determine the economic and social impacts of e-commerce, by monitoring changes in the marketplace, by obtaining measurements of new product and business models, new skills and news ways to organise work, by looking at impacts of e-commerce on productivity and employment.

This paper presents OECD work in progress to develop a framework for measurement priorities for electronic commerce. Section II looks at policy needs for e-commerce metrics in terms of the development of both e-commerce definitions and indicators. Section III starts building a conceptual framework to develop a set of e-commerce definitions. Section IV looks at e-commerce indicators one may want to collect and at their availability across OECD countries to date. Finally, section V presents the challenges ahead and OECD work in progress to respond to those challenges.

II Needs for e-commerce metrics

Policy questions should guide the setting of e-commerce definitions in terms of what needs to be measured in what way and by whom. Hence to guide statistical agencies measurement of e-commerce there is need to: (a) identify governments policy priorities; (b) translate policy concerns into research needs; and (c) develop a framework to guide research in the measurement area

At the OECD Workshop on “Defining and Measuring E-commerce” (April 1999) the methods and potential value to policy-makers of e-commerce data analysis by commercial research firms were discussed.4 It was acknowledged that public and private sector knowledge requirements were not always the same, but that they could intersect in some cases. Governments too can require short-term information for policy purposes, but national statistical agencies are not as a rule oriented to providing this information. Statistical surveys, though, are very rich instruments that can be used to measure not only e-commerce transactions or e-commerce usage but also broader e-commerce definitions and impacts. The general feeling expressed by Workshop participants was that principles would have to be established for the expansion of statistical regimes in a policy context.

Knowledge requirements of policy makers and industry have converged in the key respect that both communities need to know what is not occurring as well as what is occurring. This information is vital for strategic planning in either arena. To summarise there is need for:

- E-commerce statistics that are internationally comparable, and therefore based on a common set of definitions and a common measurement framework for e-commerce.

- E-commerce metrics and sectoral analysis that capture not only the size and growth of e-commerce, but also fundamental changes in the economy, such as the emergence of new business models, changes in the business and public value added chain, different degrees of e-commerce diffusion across society, sectors, countries.
II.1 What do we want to measure?

A very useful representation of needs for e-commerce metrics as related to the three areas of the S-shaped path for the diffusion of new technologies is presented in Figure 1. The idea is that there is a life cycle for research needs which follows the pattern of growth of e-commerce markets: at an initial stage there is need for information on the enabling factors and barriers to e-commerce; at a more mature stage one should look for the intensity of e-commerce use to enable policy makers to address imbalances; at a later stage one would be able to measure the impact of e-commerce on the economy and society. The three broad areas for indicators are:

1. **E-commerce readiness** - Included here are issues of preparing the technical, commercial and social infrastructures that are necessary to support e-commerce. It is essential for each country to be able to construct a statistical picture of the state of readiness of each infrastructure element to engage with e-commerce.

2. **E-commerce intensity** - These issues relate to the state of e-commerce usage, volume, value and nature of the transactions. The statistical requirement is to profile who is exploiting e-commerce possibilities and who is not, and to identify leading sectors and applications.

3. **E-commerce impact** - These issues relate to additionality (i.e. e-commerce goes beyond substitution effects and creates new value added) and multiplier effects. Statistics are needed to evaluate whether and to what extent e-commerce makes some kind of difference in terms of efficiency and/or the creation of new sources of wealth.

![Figure 1. Maturity of electronic commerce markets and need for indicators: the S-curve](image-url)


It is clear that the knowledge requirement in the electronic marketplace concerns far more than growth in transactions. When e-commerce markets reach a certain maturity, and differences in e-commerce uptake level out there will be less concern about growth in e-commerce, and more concern about the
wealth-creation potential of e-commerce. At that stage it is far more important to have knowledge of
the “qualities” of the transactions in terms of who transacts with whom under what conditions, the
evolution of products and services, value-chain and supply-chain dynamics, market and industry
structure, industrial organisation and management.

II.1 What is the relevant definition?

1. Definitions of electronic commerce given by various sources differ significantly. Some
include all financial and commercial transactions that take place electronically, including electronic
data interchange (EDI), electronic funds transfers (EFT), and all credit/debit card activity. Others limit
electronic commerce to retail sales to consumers for which the transaction and payment take place on
open networks like the Internet. The first type refers to forms of electronic commerce that have
existed for decades and result in trillions of dollars worth of activity every day. The second type has
existed for a few years and is not yet very large.

Some definitions are best suited for statistical measurement because they include a list of items that fit
within the boundaries of existing statistical classifications; some are best suited to address certain
policy concerns. Not only is it possible to construct a typology of definitions, but also to have a
typology of views. Some academics give a very broad definition that includes all those
communication applications that support commercial activities. Their focus is on electronic commerce
as a strategy or business model, rather then on e-commerce as an application or technology. Private
research companies, the “e-consultants”, usually cover both the broader definition focused on
business processes or focus on Internet commerce, distinguishing between business-to-business and
business-to-consumer Internet commerce. But also in the case of Internet commerce various
definitions can be found, according to what part of the Internet transaction is included. Finally,
definitions given by the same organisation change over time. This points to the fact that an e-
commerce definition is necessarily dynamic and varies with the objective one wants to measure.

The business or industry definition can be broader or narrower and the terms used are usually e-
business for the former and e-commerce for the latter. Key to the narrower definition is the
transactional aspect. A survey of business views on the definition of e-commerce conducted on behalf
of Statistics Canada also distinguishes between e-business and e-commerce. According to the findings
of the survey “the notion of transactions, computer-mediation, channels and trigger events were
found to be key concepts in defining e-commerce.” Also, industry perception of what are the relevant
computer-mediated channels or electronic commerce networks on which e-business or e-commerce
takes place differ across sectors, hence a definition should clearly specify on what type of networks or
applications e-commerce occurs.

Public statistical offices are now starting to develop e-commerce-related surveys. The definition used
by statisticians is inevitably more focused and narrow (since it has to be directly measurable).
Because statistical offices aim at collecting data on the use of certain technologies/applications (e.g.
the Internet, EDI, e-mail, etc.) and electronic processes (e.g. electronic stock monitoring, electronic
transactions, electronic ordering or purchasing, etc.), in most cases there is not even the need for a
comprehensive definition. For example most of the surveys are now focusing on Internet commerce.
Statistical surveys are very rich instruments that can be used to measure not only e-commerce
transactions or e-commerce usage but also broader definitions and impacts. Broader definitions of e-
commerce can be implemented statistically as long as those definitions are detailed enough (e.g. they
disaggregate the transaction in various components, they disaggregate the networks and applications
on which e-commerce occurs, etc.).

Policy makers’ definitions of e-commerce are often very broad in order to capture the impacts of e-
commerce, cover all segments of transactions and all actors in the economy. But policy makers also
need narrower definitions to respond to specific policy concerns. For example, there is need for
measuring the development of different e-commerce segments since drivers, technological solutions, impacts and policy implications of business-to-business (b-to-b) and business-to-consumer (b-to-c) e-commerce are different. Also, when looking at the economic impacts of e-commerce, policy makers may be interested in the huge potential and dynamics associated with the Internet and its open, non-proprietary protocol. What is needed in a policy context is a set of definitions of e-commerce that capture both its size and its impacts, and that are measurable across countries.

III. Policy needs and a set of e-commerce definitions: building a framework

The term “e-commerce”, regardless of the word “commerce” built into it, has been attributed so many different meanings by different actors that the term cannot be used in a neutral way. For the purpose of this section the term “e-commerce” (in italics) will be used as a generic term. The purpose is to show that, in fact, most of the existing e-commerce definitions differ with respect to: (a) the activities or type of transactions included in the definition, and (b) the communication infrastructure on which these activities/transactions are carried on. The range of activities/transactions included in an e-commerce definition can be wider (e.g. include most of the different layers of economic activity such as collaborative design and engineering, commerce, transport, marketing, advertising, information services, settlements of accounts, government procurement, health, education, etc.) or narrower (e.g. only retailing or delivery occurring electronically). The communication infrastructure, in turn, will be defined by two dimensions: applications and networks. It refers to all the possible applications (e.g. the Web, Electronic Data Interchange, Minitel, etc.) running over all the possible communication networks (e.g. open, closed, proprietary or non-proprietary networks).

Thus, existing e-commerce definitions can be seen as differing because of three key elements: (1) activities/transactions, (2) applications, (3) communication networks. For example some definitions refer to only one activity (e.g. retailing or delivery occurring electronically), or to one activity occurring over a specific network (e.g. retailing occurring over open networks, or TCP/IP based networks), or, more narrowly, to one activity occurring over a particular application (e.g. Web or Internet retailing). In practice, by taking into account what type of activity over which network, one can think of different types of e-commerce definition. This also underlines that e-commerce is more than a technology or application, rather it denotes the application of information and communication technologies to the entire value chain of business processes conducted electronically.

The interaction between “technology” and “business process” (or business activity) is key to understanding the impact that e-commerce is having on the nature of economic transactions, and in turn on the economy. At least one definition of e-commerce should reflect the issue of transformation of economic activities, or else e-commerce would simply be the application of new information technologies to the commerce sector; also a definition should focus on certain technologies, otherwise e-commerce would not be different from electronic transactions that have existed for years, such as transactions carried out by fax, telephone, EDI etc. and would not justify the recent attention given to it by policy makers. It is the pervasiveness of electronic commerce all along the transactional structure, across the whole range of economic activities, and across the range of different economic actors that make it a unique application.

In order to identify a certain set of e-commerce definitions one should start by asking at least three types of questions: (1) why we want to measure; (2) what do we want to measure and (3) what can we measure. The development of e-commerce definitions is thus strictly linked to the measurement issues.

Why do we want to measure? As argued in section II.2, policy makers are mainly interested in the measurement of the economic and social impacts of e-commerce. In this respect they need to use broader definitions in order to capture fundamental changes in the economy driven by e-commerce. Also, the reason for the recent interest of policy makers in e-commerce lies in the emergence of open,
non-proprietary networks such as the Internet that are seen as a catalyst for driving business over networks. In the set of definitions of e-commerce one might include also more narrow definitions focused on business or transactions occurring over particular networks such as the Internet (and e.g. use terms such as “I-commerce” in order to distinguish it from other forms of e-commerce)8.

What do we want to measure? Suppose the objective is to measure the size of e-commerce as an activity. In that case one might want to develop at least two definitions, a broader one reflecting the e-commerce business activity and a narrower one capturing e-commerce transactions. If instead one is interested in measuring the impact of e-commerce one might want to have an even broader set of definitions, basically related to the concept of an “e-sector”. In this case one might include in the definition the underlying infrastructure to carry out “e-commerce activities” or “e-commerce transactions”. The key is, though, that there is need to know what is not occurring as well as what is occurring and why. Also, it is necessary to measure the size and impacts of e-commerce transactions or activities in relation to non e-commerce transactions/activities. What we are interested in is the measurement of the relative size or the relative impacts of e-commerce (see Figure 2).

What can we measure? To different kinds of definitions correspond different degrees of measurability. Even in the case of the narrowest types of definition, e.g. those based on transactions, one should decide what components (e.g. information gathering, ordering method, payment method), carried out over which medium (Internet, Extranet, EDI, phone, fax, e-mail, etc.)9, are included in the definition. Some of the characteristics that we want to measure, such as the volume and value of sales conducted over the Internet, are extensions of information that countries generally collect. Other characteristics, such as changes in the speed or quality of these transactions or the nature of business models and supply chains, present greater measurement challenges.

Figure 2. Measuring e-commerce size or its impact in relation to overall transactions/activities

Source: OECD.
IV. What are the e-commerce indicators one might want to collect on an internationally comparable basis?

In order to develop a framework to guide research in the e-commerce measurement area it is necessary to translate policy concerns into a set of e-commerce indicators. There are several efforts in this direction which are ongoing on a national basis. Data requirements cover both supply and demand aspects of e-commerce and Figure 3 links the need for indicators on e-commerce readiness, intensity and impact with the type of information one would need to collect across the whole spectrum of actors in the electronic marketplace (consumers, businesses, government).

The degree of e-commerce readiness should encompass indicators that reflect the country’s socio-economic and technological infrastructure and usage. Particularly important are indicators that express the potential for e-commerce readiness, i.e. the propensity of individuals or businesses or governments to transact or more generally to carry out businesses electronically (e.g. indicators of credit card use, indicators of the barriers or the perceived benefits to e-commerce). The e-intensity indicators would give information on the size, growth and nature of the electronic commerce transactions/business. It is important to know for what component of a transaction e-commerce is used (e.g. information gathering, sale, purchase, payment) or in what business function; who are the actors involved in the transaction/business and what are their socio-demographic characteristics; what are the products and services involved, whether the transaction is domestic, international, urban or rural. The impact indicators would focus on the additionality and multiplier effects of e-commerce, the impacts on production processes and business models, on the workplace and more generally on society.

**Figure 3. Translating policy needs into research priorities**

![Diagram of e-commerce indicators](source: OECD)

Figure 4 provides some examples of indicators developed by national statistical agencies. Due to the rapid growth in e-commerce, countries have responded to the information needed by policy makers in a less structured way than they might have wished; their responses have varied depending on the
statistical infrastructure available in those countries. Because there have been no international statistical frameworks or definitions to follow, the indicators that have been produced to date are not necessarily comparable.

Member countries have done considerable work in developing measures of readiness for e-commerce, but, as inspection of Figure 4 shows, have done relatively little work on developing measures of either the size of e-commerce or its impacts. In part, this reflects the embryonic nature of electronic commerce markets in most Member countries, with few, if any, countries where e-commerce impacts can be fully detected. It also reflects the fact that measuring the impact of electronic commerce presents relatively more challenges than measuring either readiness or intensity. However, it is the potential social and economic impacts of electronic commerce that generate the greatest needs for information. Providing the information needed to assess these impacts will require countries to extend and expand their current data collections. In doing so, they are likely to encounter technical and conceptual challenges.

![Figure 4. Availability of e-commerce indicators across OECD countries](image)

**NOTE**: The darker shade indicates availability across all OECD countries; the lighter shade indicates availability across a limited number of countries (more than three); no shade indicates a very limited availability (one to three countries). *Source*: OECD.

V. **The challenges ahead and OECD work on defining and measuring electronic commerce**

E-commerce is more than a technology, it is a business model built around the application of information and communication technologies to any aspect of the value chain for products and services. Determining the size and impacts of e-commerce requires the development of indicators that, while responding to policy needs, capture the key elements and processes that are common to a representative range of operational e-commerce models in the OECD countries. A statistical definition of e-commerce will be based on a set of definitions that refer specifically to these policy needs, key elements and processes.
It is clear that there are several major challenges to face in the development of this work, and that such challenges are linked to the interest that policy makers have not merely in e-commerce “numbers” but in e-commerce “relative and internationally comparable numbers” and in the broader impacts of e-commerce on the economy. In conclusion there is need to:

- Develop a set of definitions that takes into consideration not only the transactional aspects of e-commerce but also the need for evaluating its broader economic impacts.

- Develop e-commerce metrics and sectoral analysis that capture not only the size and growth of e-commerce, but also fundamental changes in the economy, such as the emergence of new business models, changes in the business and public value added chain, different degrees of e-commerce diffusion across society, sectors, countries.

- Develop internationally comparable e-commerce metrics that can be used to analyse the comparative advantages and disadvantages that might exist in different countries when exploiting the potential of e-commerce.

- Develop new indicators that better reflect the nature of the electronic marketplace, i.e. indicators that concern all dynamic aspects of market creation, structure, participation and interaction among actors. For example some attention should be given to (a) developing indicators of the migration of e-commerce applications from closed to open networks; (b) developing indicators that capture the interactions among the various actors in the electronic marketplace; (c) trying to link economic to social indicators.

- Capture the broader impacts of e-commerce on the economy by improving the measurement of key components of productivity -- inputs, outputs, and associated prices -- at the level of the individual businesses, sectors, as well as at the macroeconomic level.

- Setting priorities for measurement. The limited resources of statistical organisations mean that the measurement effort must proceed in stages. Some proposed measures can be collected with relatively straightforward changes to current survey questionnaires. Collecting other measures may require more development. Questions and entire survey vehicles may need to be developed, or be relatively costly to implement. Time also matters because electronic commerce is a rapidly evolving and spreading phenomenon. It requires measurement frameworks and collection systems that are flexible enough to keep up with its changes, and to meet differing and evolving user needs.

In October 1998 the OECD received a Ministerial mandate to “Initiate work on defining and measuring electronic commerce”. Below is a brief description of work carried out by the OECD in relation to the issues of e-commerce definitions and measurement priorities, e-commerce readiness, e-commerce intensity and e-commerce impacts.

A set of e-commerce definitions and a framework for e-commerce measurement priorities

The OECD Working Party on Indicators for the Information Society (WPIIS) decided at its April 1999 meeting to create an Expert Group on “Defining and Measuring E-commerce”. The Expert group mandate is to “compile definitions of electronic commerce which are policy relevant and statistically feasible.” Thirteen countries and Eurostat are participating in the Expert Group, and Singapore is participating as an Observer. In developing definitions and measures of electronic commerce the Expert Group should be “fully aware of the policy needs for indicators and data in the domain of electronic commerce”.
The WPIIS Expert Group is working in close collaboration with another ICCP related group, the Working Party on the Information Economy (WPIE), as well as with policy makers and experts from businesses and research institutes. Initial building blocks of this multifaceted work are (1) the development of a set of e-commerce definitions for the purpose of statistical measurement across countries, (2) the translation of policy needs into a set of comparable e-commerce metrics, and (3) the development of concrete proposals to use and adapt existing statistical instruments to collect data and develop such metrics.

The Expert Group’s first steps were to develop three draft papers in parallel. The draft background paper on definitions summarises the range of definitions of electronic commerce in use in Member countries, and the variety of different purposes for which measures are wanted. It solicits additional views on electronic commerce that can be used for measurement purposes, and will develop a synthesis or convergence of definitions in its next version.12 A draft paper on methodology will review those measures of electronic commerce that have been collected, and assess the statistical soundness of methodologies currently available for measuring the definitions proposed in the draft definition paper.

A third draft paper will develop a framework of measurement priorities for electronic commerce based on national accounts. While electronic commerce is of interest in its own right, interest is at least as great about the ways that it may affect the economy as a whole, specific industries and sectors, and the firms that do, and do not, participate in it. These effects include changes in the factors or inputs that enter businesses’ production processes, changes in their production, revenue and distribution models, and in the nature of the marketplace. National accounting and other economic principles provide the current framework for measuring these characteristics in the economy generally. They also provide a framework for measuring the effects of electronic commerce, determining where there are gaps in current statistical collections, and guiding the development of new measures.

E-commerce readiness

Readiness measures have received the greatest statistical attention so far. The indicators that have been developed have basically been of two types: the first relates to the telecommunications infrastructure available in a country; the second relates to the skills and training of the population using the infrastructure.

Indicators about the telecommunications infrastructure have been compiled by a number of countries, and generally from a variety of sources. Statistical Agencies often survey the telecommunications industry to measure its size, structure and financial performance. Since the growth of the Internet, there have also been a number of collections undertaken within OECD Member countries that provide some information on the number of and services provided by Internet Service Providers. Typically these surveys have been hard to conduct because of difficulties in obtaining accurate and up-to-date lists of such companies. They often have very short life spans and can be quickly involved in business restructures, which makes statistical measurement much more difficult.

The OECD Working Party on Telecommunication and Information Services Policies (TISP) regularly publishes Internet and electronic commerce indicators across Member countries. These “infrastructure” indicators, appearing in the biennial publication Communications Outlook, are now updated and available on the Web (http://www.oecd.org/dsti/sti/it/stats/newindicators.htm). Among these indicators are the number of Internet hosts, Secure Servers, and a choice of Internet baskets that allow comparisons of Internet access prices across OECD countries.
**E-commerce intensity**

Within this field, the priorities for data collection have been mainly in the areas of the business enterprise and the household sectors. In terms of the business enterprise sector, the most common way in which countries have responded has been by the development of special surveys that measure the use of a range of information and communication technologies. These surveys have generally taken a broad, almost economy wide, approach although it has been rare for data to be collected about the Agriculture sector. These surveys generally have measured the technological infrastructure in place and the usage of those technologies. In most of these surveys, there has been particular emphasis placed on the Internet.

In terms of the household sector, many countries have developed surveys as part of their standard household surveys programmes. The number of indicators varies widely across countries depending on the amount of interviewing time it has been possible to devote to measuring this phenomenon. The surveys generally measure the extent to which people and households are using ICTs and more generally look at the issues of the barriers to future use and the benefits being obtained from current use. These surveys have tended to concentrate on the use of computers and the Internet, but in some cases have gone more extensively into other technologies being used by people and households. Because the surveys tend to be conducted as supplements to existing surveys, it is possible to have access to socio-demographic characteristics that might not normally be available.

As far as measuring the value of electronic sales is concerned, the vehicle has not proven to be very reliable due to the small number of people, households and businesses that generally undertake such transactions. Most countries have found it only possible to undertake such collections on an annual or less frequent basis although in Australia data is collected every quarter. However, some of the statistics derived about changes between successive quarters are very susceptible to high sampling errors. Another strategy being tested in the United States is to add questions on the proportion of sales made over the Internet to their monthly retail sales surveys for the last quarter of 1999. The data will be analyzed for their reliability and usefulness before further collection and release plans are announced. This strategy has the potential to be an extremely cost effective way of quickly developing one indicator of e-commerce sales, even if only for a narrow definition of e-commerce.

The OECD Working Party on Indicators for the Information Society (WPIIS) is currently working towards the development of an harmonised methodology for surveys on ICT usage in the business sector. Particular attention is being given to questions related to the use of the Internet in business.

**E-commerce impacts**

Determining the economic and social impacts of e-commerce is also in large measure a question of monitoring and measuring change in the marketplace, the generation of new product and business models, the need for new skills and news ways to organise work. But obtaining measurements of this kind presents a real challenge. Following the report “The Economic and Social Impact of Electronic Commerce. Preliminary Findings and Research Agenda” (OECD, 1999), the OECD Working Party for the Information Economy (WPIE) decided to look at the impact that electronic commerce is having on business. Given the dynamic nature of these processes and their firm, sector, and time specificity, it is difficult to single out the impact of electronic commerce. Nevertheless, it is possible to see some patterns emerging and some conclusions can be drawn from business case studies. While firm-level case studies will not provide results on the universe of firms, because of small sample size, case studies of firms that are already actively engaged in e-commerce (proactive firms) can give insights on the potential impacts of e-commerce and similarities and differences at sector and country level.

12
As part of this project, the OECD developed a common approach and methodology to interviews in order to improve comparability of results across countries and sectors. The idea was to design a questionnaire to be used as a guide for researchers in *face to face* interviews with businesses. The questionnaire was tested by the Secretariat on a sample of French firms across the distribution and publishing sectors\(^\text{15}\). Following experience with the pilot study and comments by survey methodologists a new questionnaire was developed in collaboration with the Dutch Institute of Technology (TNO/STB)\(^\text{16}\). Case studies based on this proposal will be carried out by a number of OECD countries with results expected by the end of the year 2000.
NOTES

1 Because the Internet architecture is highly transparent (unlike the public telecommunication architecture), and because e-commerce generates large amounts of transaction related information that can be captured and processed immediately, many new technical solutions to data gathering are being developed.

2 Forrester Research definition includes transactions “in which the final order is placed on the Internet”, International Data Corporation (IDC)’s definition is about transactions that are “initiated on the Internet”. Goldman Sachs includes both transactions “initiated and completed on the Internet”. Also the sampling methodology differs. Forrester Research’s business-to-business estimates are based on the analysis of the supply chain of 13 tangible goods and interviews with executives from 80 firms; Goldman Sachs estimates are based on interviews with IT managers and financial officers at 41 Fortune 1000; IDC estimates are based instead on more than 40,000 surveys/interviews across 31 countries.

3 The ROMTEC survey for EITO (1999) on the e-commerce market in Europe reveals that 74% of European organisations who conducted sales, and 89% of those who conducted purchases on Internet-based networks, do not make a significant share in overall sales or purchases. This story is very different from that of Intel, a company that is making 1 billion dollars a month from businesses’ orders placed on the Web and expects by the end of 1999 to carry out over the Web close to 50% of its business.


7 While the impacts of earlier forms of electronic commerce have presumably already been felt, the role of open standards as a catalyst for driving business over networks has driven the recent interest of policy makers for e-commerce.

8 For example the 1999 White Paper “Communications in Japan” (Ministry of Posts and Telecommunications) developed a set of nested definitions focused on the Internet.

9 Even if one restricts oneself to the narrowest definition, i.e. transactions occurring over the Internet, there can be significant differences in the definitions used. For example the elements included in the Internet-commerce sales statistics of the private research companies that size B2B Internet-commerce might be different. When Forrester Research sizes B2B I-commerce sales, it refers to “trade of goods and services in which the final order is placed over the Internet”. IDC estimates, instead, include transactions that have been originated over the Internet in terms of information gathering, regardless of whether the order is finally placed over the Internet or the phone/fax.

10 The other action points that were endorsed were: work to develop Guidelines for Consumer Protection in the context of electronic commerce within 1999; develop practical guidance for the implementation of the 1980 OECD Privacy guidelines in an online environment, based on national experiences; discuss the emerging technologies and business models for authentication and
certification; extend the analytical work at the OECD examining the economic and social impacts of
electronic commerce, and applications in government, particularly education; continue work on
examining the policy implications of changing information and communication networks, including
technological convergence, enhancing access to infrastructures and study policy requirements to
complete the liberalisation of the underlying telecommunications infrastructure; set up specific
technical advisory groups with business and non-member countries to address taxation issues.

11 The terms of reference for this group can be found in document: DSTI/ICCP(99)7.

12 “Defining E-Commerce: Background Paper and Issues for Discussion”, preliminary draft, 18 August,
1999.

13 Preliminary findings of this study are reported in DSTI/ICCP/IE(99)4/FINAL.

14 Large-scale, representative statistical surveys using comprehensive sampling frames and achieving
high response rates provide information, for example, on non-adoption of the technology and
economy-wide impacts. The two approaches, statistical surveys and detailed case studies, are
complementary and can be linked together to get an accurate picture of e-commerce dynamics at the
economy level and the reasons behind these dynamics. The OECD is also working, on a longer time
frame, to help improve national statistical surveys of e-commerce and international comparability of
such surveys.

15 The results of the pilot study are reported in “Business-to-business electronic commerce in the
distribution and publishing industries in France”, DSTI/ICCP/IE(99)9.
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